1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

26

ORDER

CR17-0216-JCC PAGE - 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CASE NO. CR17-0216-JCC

Plaintiff,

v.

,

RICHARD BLICK,

Defendant.

CASE NO. CR17-0210-3

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on Defendant's motion to exclude evidence of prior convictions and imprisonment (Dkt. No. 19). Having thoroughly considered the parties' briefing and the relevant record, the Court DENIES the motion without prejudice for the reasons explained herein.

Defendant is charged with Possession of Child Pornography and Receipt of Child Pornography. (*See* Dkt. No. 7.) The Government notified defense counsel of its intent to introduce Defendant's previous convictions for Rape of a Child in the Second Degree. (Dkt. No. 35-1 at 5–6.) Defendant moves to exclude this evidence for all purposes, except impeachment. (Dkt. No. 19 at 1–2) (citing Fed. R. Evid. 609(a)(1)). But Federal Rule of Evidence 414 permits the introduction of prior acts of child molestation, irrespective of the limits of Rule 404(b), in situations such as this. *U.S. v. Redlightning*, 624 F.3d 1090, 1120 (9th Cir. 2010). Defendant has not provided a compelling argument to exclude the convictions, and the Court holds that they are

1	admissible subject to Federal Rule of Evidence 403. See U.S. v. LeMay, 260 F.3d 1018, 1022
2	(9th Cir. 2001). The Court reserves its Rule 403 determination regarding this evidence until trial
3	where the risk of unfair prejudice to Defendant from such evidence will be more readily
4	determined.
5	Accordingly, the court DENIES Defendant's motion without prejudice. (Dkt. No. 19.)
6	DATED this 29th day of January 2018.
7	
8	John C Coylina
9	John C. Coughenour UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	